



Italian Journal of Gynaecology & Obstetrics

March 2025 - Vol. 37 - N. 1 - Quarterly - ISSN 2385 – 0868

Embryoscopy and its place in gynaecology: a case series and review of literature

Rahul Manchanda, Neha Sinha*

Department of Gynaecology Endoscopy, PSRI Hospital, New Delhi, India.

ARTICLE INFO

History

Received: 12 July 2024

Received in revised form: 19 September 2024

Accepted: 11 October 2024

Available online: 31 March 2025

DOI: 10.36129/jog.2024.190

Key words

Embryoscopy; fetoscopy; malformations; prenatal conditions; gynaecological conditions.

*Corresponding author: Neha Sinha, Dr., Senior Registrar. Department of Gynaecology Endoscopy, PSRI Hospital, New Delhi.
Email: nehasinhakant@gmail.com.
ORCID: 0009-0008-1527-3470.

ABSTRACT

Background. The missed abortion has always challenged clinicians and researchers to investigate the underlying cause. In the last few decades, embryoscopy has become an indispensable tool in the field of gynaecology and related reproductive disorders. The current study aims to explore the application and intervention of embryoscopy in cases of missed abortion and attempt to understand the cases of missed abortion.

Case presentation. Presenting a series of two cases of missed abortion. The patients were counselled for embryoscopy. During embryoscopy, the products were removed and sent for the analysis of chromosomal abnormality using fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique. Further, a non-systematic review was conducted by collecting various articles on the techniques of embryoscopy, fetoscopy and fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique. The cases of missed abortion were also included in the research. The articles were collected from PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, Medline, Embase, Web of Science, etc. While examining, the gestational sac and embryo were found to be attached to the uterine wall, but the embryo node was not observed during embryoscopy. Additionally, chromosomal aberrations using fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique were also found to be negative.

Conclusions. There were no morphological changes found in the uterine cavity, including the conceptus. Furthermore, there were no chromosomal aberrations observed in the embryo, suggesting the presence of underlying genetic lesions that are not detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization. More studies into genetic and morphological changes utilising the latest and advanced techniques are required for the understanding of factors involved in the missed abortion cases.

INTRODUCTION

The direct visualization for foetal and prenatal diagnosis of abnormalities by using a scope has been applied in the past. One of the earliest hysteroscopic transuterine visualizations was performed for fo-

tuses in the 2nd trimester [1]. The pregnant women were given both local as well as general anaesthesia. Since the earliest use of scope in the diagnosis of foetuses in 1954, the technological advancements in the field of visualization techniques of embryo development have come a long way. Embryoscopy

involves the application of endoscope using fibre optics technology that is introduced through either abdomen or cervical canal. The scope reaches into extracoelomic space, where the developing embryo is directly visualised through intact amnion membrane. It is typically performed between 5 weeks to 11 weeks of gestation. Since chorion fuses with amnion after 11 weeks, the visualization using embryoscopy becomes impossible. Although, diameter of the endoscope ranges between 1.7 mm to 3.5 mm with varying lens angle from 0-30°, it is passed through the cervical canal or abdomen under the ultrasound guidance [2]. To insert the endoscope into extracoelomic space, a rapid thrust is applied to penetrate the chorionic membranes. Once the endoscope is introduced into the extracoelomic space, it is possible to examine phenotype of the embryo, including face, head, umbilical cord, dorsal and ventral wall and yolk sac.

Nevertheless, the embryoscopy technique remains invasive in nature with risk to pregnant women and the foetus. The application of embryoscopy and fetoscopy evolved as a common technique to visualize the developing foetus for the diagnosis and therapeutic purposes in the 1970s. In one of the earliest reports, fetoscopy was used to visualise the malformations, including neural tube defect in the foetus [3, 4]. However, the diagnostic application of embryoscopy was largely abandoned, after the advent of ultrasound. Later in the 1990s, the miniaturized and light instrument with video embryoscopy became prevalent for therapeutic procedures in the uterine cavity of pregnant women [5, 6]. Although prenatal screening was used to detect the abnormalities in the foetuses, the treatment could only be performed after the birth. In some conditions, without prenatal interventions, organ damage or intrauterine foetal death (IUFD) was also possible. In such cases, open foetal surgery may lead to premature delivery and preterm premature rupture of membrane (PPROM). Fetoscopy, due to its minimal invasiveness, has huge potential to provide therapeutic alternatives [7]. The cases for foetal interventions with embryoscopy remain rare due to high risk of medicolegal risks. An initiative was taken by the European Union in 1998 by bringing medical experts and endoscope manufacturers, which led to development of multiple purposefully designed endoscopes based on highly specific foetal conditions. One of the first applications of such condition specific fetoscopes was complicated monochorionic multiple pregnancies.

Moreover, various conditions were defined, which were specific for the application of embryoscopic intervention [8]. In recent times, application of embryoscopy only for the diagnosis has become rare. Hysteroscopic uterine evacuation of early pregnancy loss using tissue removal devices seems to be safe and feasible, it helps in accurate localisation of gestational sac in the uterine cavity. On the other hand, embryoscopy can be done before uterine evacuation and this technique is more accurate and for foetal chromosome karyotyping with lower maternal cell contamination.

The application of embryoscopy has demonstrated several advantages over dilatation and curettage (D&C). Embryoscopy allows the precise localization of the gestational sac inside the uterine cavity, lower maternal cell contamination so that result can be more accurate than D&C for foetal chromosome karyotyping. Embryoscopy is under vision procedure, which may reduce retained products of conception rate and risk of intrauterine adhesion formation. Direct vision of morphological alteration of embryos also provides significant information for genetic counselling. However, its limitations is around 11 weeks when the chorion and amnion fuse and there is risk of rupturing the amniotic membrane.

Aim and objective

The aim is to explore the application and intervention of embryoscopy in gynaecological conditions, especially in the cases of missed abortions and to understand the cause of missed abortions.

CASE PRESENTATION

The missed abortion of 2 patients were diagnosed at the department of gynaecology, PSRI Hospital, New Delhi. As it is a case series - its limitation is small sample size, but it provides detailed and quality information about the outcome of the study.

Case 1: the patient had a history of 3 abortions in the past (P0L0A3). The patient was presented with USG suggestive of missed abortion. The blood sample of the patient was sent for relevant investigations. The patient was counselled for embryoscopy. Based on the vaginal examination, uterus was found to be 6-8 weeks size, anteverted, and bilateral fornices free. The product collected from embryoscopy was sent for histopathological analysis by fluorescence in situ hybridization for aneuploidy. The fluorescence

in situ hybridisation technique analysis was performed on 200 interphase nuclei.

Case 2: the patient had a history of missed abortion (P0L0A1). The patient was presented with spotting per vaginal since day 1. The blood sample of the patient was sent for relevant investigations. The patient was counselled for embryoscopy based on vaginal examination. The uterus was found to be 6 weeks size, anteverted, and bilateral fornices free. The product collected from embryoscopy was sent for histopathological investigations and fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique analysis for aneuploidy. The fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique was performed on 200 interphase nuclei.

Literature survey

Various articles were assessed for the non-systematic review on the place of embryo-fetoscopy in the field of gynaecology, including methods and clinical applications of the embryoscopy and fetoscopy in context with the interventions and diagnosis of missed abortion cases. The literature assessed also helps to confirm and clarify knowledge of embryonic development and disorders associated and to provide early prenatal diagnosis of genetic disorders (this ranges from 7% to 18%). The articles were reviewed by searching various databases, including PubMed, Google Scholar, Embase, Web of Science, Science Direct, Cochrane database etc.

The articles were filtered based on full text availability, year of publication and relevance of the topics. The studies with description of embryoscopy and fetoscopy, including their application and interventions in the certain conditions were selected for the review. The keywords used were embryoscopy, fetoscopy, embryo-fetoscopy in diagnosis and their interventions in context with gynaecological and prenatal conditions.

Case 1: upon embryoscopy, gestational sac was observed on the posterior uterine wall. Yolk sac was found intact, but the embryo node was not visualized. The biopsy was collected, and the rest of the product was removed during the procedure. The aneuploidy detection for POC using fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique was found to be negative (**Figure 1A,B**).

Case 2: it was found that the embryo and sac were attached to the fundus and posterior wall in front of the right ostia. No apparent abnormality was visualized during embryoscopy. Moreover, rest of the uterine cavity, left ostia, and cervical canal were observed to be normal. The biopsy was collected




Figure 1 (A,B). Images taken from embryoscopy of case 1 showing G-sac.

and rest of the product was removed during the embryoscopy. The fluorescence in situ hybridisation result showed two signals pertaining to a normal sex chromosome 13, 18, 21 and normal sex chromosome pattern in the cells. The aneuploidy detection of POC (products of conception) using fluorescence in situ hybridisation was found to be negative. The histopathological examination showed chorionic villi, trophoblastic cells, and secretory endometrium with markedly decidualized stroma (**Figure 2A,B**).

DISCUSSION

In this case study, we investigated embryonic products in two patients with missed abortion, using embryoscopy. We found embryo and sac attached to the posterior wall of the uterine cavity in both the cases. During the examination, there was no




Figure 2 (A,B) Images taken from embryoscopy of case 2 showing G-sac.

synechia, intrauterine septum or polyp (Figure 1). Beyond the uterine cavity, it was revealed that both ostia and cervical canal appeared normal. Although, certain abnormalities and morphological changes in the cases of missed abortion remain undetected by ultrasound which were observed by embryoscopy [9], leading to expansion of diagnostic tools used for evaluation of pregnancy loss. According to a study, the correlation between transvaginal hysteroscopy and ultrasound for the assessment of intracavitary uterine pathologies in 105 women with asymptomatic postmenopausal endometrial thickening was found to be insignificant [10]. Nevertheless, Palmero *et al.* considered

Table 1. Representation of different events of the foetal development according to the gestational periods during the pregnancy.

Gestational period	Events of foetal development
5 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> G sac / yolk sac Primitive umbilical ring
6 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> G sac / yolk sac / foetal pole Formation of foot Auricular hillocks Prominent cerebral vesicles
7 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formation of eye lid Digital rays in footplate Limbs Midgut formation
8 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fingers and toes become free and longer Eyelid and auricle more develop
9-12 weeks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development of lower and upper limbs External genitalia differentiation

embryoscopy to be the gold standard for diagnostic exams in gynaecological interventions [10]. Moreover, another study reported an established correlation between embryoscopy and histopathology, in the context of morphological changes in the inflammatory state of endometrium [11]. Embryoscopy could be useful in the study of highly characterized cohort subjects with missed abortions with no apparent chromosomal abnormalities in the product. During the pregnancy period, the development of foetus is a sequence of events that allows the formation of various organs and body parts in a specified period of time (Table 1).

With the help of embryoscopy, direct visualization of uterine cavity, gestational sac, and embryo per week is possible based on the above findings. Embryoscopy also helps in prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal abnormalities as well as recognisable external foetal abnormalities. Additionally, it also provides accurate information of foetal development, which allows to minimise the risk of miscarriage with the help of both genetical and morphological information of the embryo.

Typically, fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation technique is applied to detect common chromosomal aberrations related to trisomies of the autosomes 13, 18, or 21 (Down syndrome). Double trisomy or aneuploidy, which is a result of gain or loss of at least two chromosomes, is observed in 0.21-0.28% of the aborted fetuses. Disorders related to sex development are indicated by the aberrant number of genomes, X and Y. Severe developmental and metabolic disorders have been associated with di-

seases, such as Ulrich-Turner-Syndrome (45, X) or Triple X Syndrome (47, XXX). The prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities detectable in the newborn including chromosomes, 13, 18, 21, X and Y has been found to be 0.92% [12]. In the current study, we found that the fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique analysis performed on 200 interphase nuclei showed two signals pertaining to a normal number of chromosomes 13, 18, 21 and normal sex chromosomes patterns in these cells, which suggest no chromosomal abnormalities in the conceptus. The development of embryo consists of precisely programmed developmental events, which involves multiple genes to be regulated in synchronized fashion for the growth and morphogenesis. It has been documented that the abnormal development observed by embryoscopy in the cases with normal chromosome were as severe and gross as the cases with abnormal chromosomal abnormalities [13], which might suggest the presence of genetic lesion that are undetectable by fluorescence in situ hybridisation technique. However, more studies are required in this field to determine the reasons for the missed abortion cases, where chromosomes are found to be normal in the product.

CONCLUSIONS

While examining, the morphological structure of the uterine cavity, including embryo and sac attachment, intrauterine septum, polyp, synechia, lest ostia and cervical canal, appeared normal. Moreover, there were no apparent chromosomal abnormalities observed in the cases with missed abortion, which might suggest underlying genetic lesions, which remain undetectable by the fluorescence in situ hybridization technique. Also in the above two cases, after the morphological and genetic study, patients were counselled well and reassured. They were counselled to conceive again and at present both patients are carrying a healthy pregnancy till date. A deeper morphological and genetic study is warranted to understand the attribution of missed abortion cases.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

Authors' contribution

R.M.: Conceptualization, supervision, investigation, formal analysis, methodology, patient consent,

validation. N.S.: Data curation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, investigation

Funding

None.

Study registration

N/A.

Disclosure of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethical approval

N/A.

Informed consent

N/A.

Data sharing

N/A.

REFERENCES

1. Westin J. Hysteroscopy in early pregnancy. *Lancet*. 1954;2:872.
2. Dumez Y, Mandelbort L, Dommergues M. Embryoscopy in continuing pregnancies. Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the International Fetal Medicine Society, Evian, France, 1992.
3. Rodeck CH, Campbell S. Early prenatal diagnosis of neural-tube defects by ultrasound-guided fetoscopy. *Lancet*. 1978;1(8074):1128-9.
4. Laurence KM, Prosser R, Rocker I, Pearson JF, Richard C. Hirschsprung's disease associated with congenital heart malformation, broad big toes, and ulnar polydactyly in sibs: a case for fetoscopy. *J Med Genet*. 1975;12(4):334-8. doi: 10.1136/jmg.12.4.334.
5. Quintero RA, Abuhamad A, Hobbins JC, Mahoney MJ. Transabdominal thin-gauge embryo-fetoscopy: a technique for early prenatal diagnosis and its use in the diagnosis of a case of Meckel-Gruber syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(5):1552-7. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9378(11)90797-2.
6. Luks FI, Deprest JA. Endoscopic fetal surgery: a new alternative? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 1993;52(1):1-3. doi: 10.1016/0028-2243(93)90217-z.

7. Golombeck K, Ball RH, Lee H, Farrell JA, Farmer DL, Jacobs VR, et al. Maternal morbidity after maternal-fetal surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194(3):834-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2005.10.807.
8. Deprest J, Jani J, Lewi L, Ochsenein-Kölbl N, Cannie M, Doné E, et al. Fetoscopic surgery: encouraged by clinical experience and boosted by instrument innovation. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2006;11(6):398-412. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2006.09.003.
9. Blaas HG. The examination of the embryo and early fetus: how and by whom? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;14(3):153-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-0705.1999.14030153.x.
10. Palermo P, Serva A, Capannolo G, D'Alfonso A, Necozone S, Guido M. Asymptomatic postmenopausal endometrial thickening: a comparison between transvaginal ultrasound and hysteroscopy in the diagnosis of intracavitary uterine pathologies. *Ital J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2023;35(1):71-80. doi: 10.36129/jog.2022.30.
11. Drizi A, Ghahremani M, Herendael BJ. Correlations between hysteroscopy and histopathology to consider for the diagnosis of impaired inflammatory states of the endometrium: a narrative review. *Ital J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2023;35(1):41-54. doi: 10.36129/jog.2022.43.
12. Lin Y, Hu P, Li H, Luo C, Liang D, Xu Z. Pregnancy outcomes of rare autosomal trisomies results in non-invasive prenatal screening: clinical follow-up data from a single tertiary centre. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2022;26(8):2251-8. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.17245.
13. Philipp T, Philipp K, Reiner A, Beer F, Kalousek DK. Embryoscopic and cytogenetic analysis of 233 missed abortions: factors involved in the pathogenesis of developmental defects of early failed pregnancies. *Hum Reprod.* 2003;18(8):1724-32. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deg309.