



Italian Journal of Gynæcology & Obstetrics

March 2024 - Vol. 36 - N. 1 - Quarterly - ISSN 2385 – 0868

Comparison of neonatal prognosis in gestational diabetic and healthy mothers

Hassan Boskabadi ¹, Nazgol Behgam ², Fatemeh Bagheri ^{3,*}

¹Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

² Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Islamic Azad University of Medical Science, Mashhad, Iran.

³Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

History

Received: 10 August 2022

Received in revised form: 30 March 2023

Accepted: 04 May 2023

Available online: 19 March 2024

DOI: 10.36129/jog.2023.116

Key words

Gestational diabetes; neonatal screening; delivery; newborn; APGAR score.

*Corresponding author: Fatemeh Bagheri, PhD. Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Shahin Far Street, Mashhad, 91331-93781 Iran. Email: bagherifatemeh59@yahoo.com. ORCID: 0000-0003-1672-9798.

ABSTRACT

Objective. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is the most common medical disorder complicating pregnancy, which can have serious consequences for mothers and infants. This study was performed with the aim to compare the neonatal and maternal outcomes of two groups of pregnancies: complicated and uncomplicated by diabetes facing a preterm birth.

Materials and Methods. This case-control study was undertaken on 196 healthy mothers with preterm birth and 99 premature infants of diabetic mothers referred to Ghaem Hospital in the 2019-21 period. Maternal characteristics including age, rate of pregnancy, type of delivery, delivery complication, and prolonged rupture of the membrane (PROM), along with neonatal characteristics including gestational age, APGAR score, weight, the need for mechanical ventilation, ophthalmic examinations, and laboratory results of neonates including nucleated red blood cell (NRBC), white blood cell (WBC), and venous blood gas were recorded in the checklist.

Results. In this study, the mean gestational age of neonates in the groups of healthy and diabetic mothers were 35.03 ± 2.46 and 33.88 ± 2.73 weeks, respectively. Maternal age, type of delivery, prolonged rupture of the membrane, need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and need for ventilation, first minute APGAR score, and retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) exhibited significant differences between the two groups (P -value < 0.05).

Conclusions. According to the results of our study, diabetic mothers had pregnancy at an older age, had a longer prolonged rupture of the membrane, and were more likely to have a caesarean-section. Moreover, the preterm infants of diabetic mothers had lower APGAR scores and a greater need for resuscitation at birth. They also run a higher risk of retinopathy of prematurity.

INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during gestation. It is

the most common medical disorder diagnosed during pregnancy.

GDM usually disappears after delivery although mothers remain at high risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus during life [1].

The prevalence of GDM varies in different societies, and its global average is estimated at 13.5% [7]. During pregnancy, insulin resistance in women is amplified because the placenta secretes the insulin-antagonistic hormone. GDM usually affects women who are genetically predisposed to type-2 diabetes or whose lifestyle, including obesity and low activity, makes them vulnerable to GDM [8-11]. During pregnancy, although the pancreas produces increased volume of insulin, functional reserves are not sufficient to overcome insulin resistance. After delivery, with placental abruption, insulin production and pancreatic function return to the pre-pregnancy levels [12]. The risk of gestational diabetes increases with maternal age, subsequent pregnancies, increased body mass index (BMI), a history of gestational diabetes, and a family history of diabetes, also demonstrated that higher maternal age, obesity before pregnancy, type of delivery C-section, and body weight to gestational age LGA were significantly associated with increased risk of GDM [6, 7].

Diabetes is also a risk factor for preeclampsia, C-section, preterm delivery, type two DM, cancer risk, and nephropathy in these mothers [1, 8, 9]. Neonatal morbidity in diabetic mothers is about 5%-10% [10]. Outcomes of gestational diabetes for infants may include macrosomia, postpartum trauma, shoulder dystocia, preeclampsia, hypoxia, hypoglycaemia, hyperbilirubinemia, and ultimately increased prenatal mortality [11]. So far, many studies have evaluated the outcomes of gestational diabetes in mothers and infants, but few studies have addressed the risk of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), prolonged rupture of membrane (PROM), and neonatal need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and ventilation. Due to changes in people's lifestyles and the growing rate of obesity in communities, the prevalence of GDM has soared by 30% in the last two decades [16]. It is known as an epidemic and the most common complication during pregnancy. A proper assessment of the consequences and complications of gestational diabetes in mothers and their infants can provide a suitable approach to managing procedures related to the follow-up, monitoring, and prevention of these complications in the healthcare system. Finally, we compared the outcomes of preterm infants and mothers in the two groups of mothers with gestational diabetes and healthy mothers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, setting, and population with selection criteria

This case-control study was conducted on 295 premature infants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad, during the 2019-2022 period. The premature neonates whose mothers had a history of diabetes in late stages of pregnancy were assigned to the case group and premature infants whose mothers had no problems during pregnancy were assigned to the control group.

Data collection and statistical analysis

Demographic characteristics of mothers including maternal age, gravidity, gestational problems, type of delivery, complications during delivery, and PROM as well as characteristics of newborns including gestational age, birth weight, 1-minute APGAR score, 5-minute APGAR score, need for mechanical ventilation, follow-up neonatal retinal examination along with neonatal laboratory results including the mean count of nucleated red blood cell (NRBC), white blood cell (WBC), and venous blood gas were examined in both groups and recorded in a checklist.

Gestational diabetes is defined as the fasting glucose level of a pregnant woman ≥ 92 mg/dl (5.1 mmol/l) once or more, ≥ 180 mg/dl (10.0 mmol/l) after 1 hour, and ≥ 153 mg/dl (8.5 mmol/l) after 2 h, it is positive if one of the values is equal or more than cut offs [13].

A CBC sample and peripheral blood smear were taken from all hospitalized infants and then a complete blood cell count was performed in the laboratory using a Cismax automatic counter. In the next step, to control the results, a peripheral blood smear device was prepared, and the cells were examined morphologically before being separated. The number of NRBC was reported per 100 WBC (NRBC/100).

The retinologist examined the neonate at 32 weeks of pregnancy or 4 weeks after birth and a follow-up was conducted to assess of ROP. The stages and severity of ROP were determined based on the international classification.

After entering data in SPSS 21, we used tables, graphs, and statistical indicators to describe the results. After normality control, the Pearson cor-

relation coefficient test and the independent t-test were used for parametric variables, and Spearman and Mann-Whitney correlation coefficients were used for nonparametric variables. The chi-square test was utilized to analyse the relationships of variables with a nominal scale. A significant level of less than 0.05 was considered.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Organizational Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, (research code: 990515 - and code of ethics: IR.MUMS.MEDICAL.REC.1399.258).

RESULTS

In this study, 196 infants (66.4%) were born to mothers without a history of gestational diabetes, and 99 were born to diabetic mothers. **Table 1** compares the characteristics of mothers and infants in the two groups. There was a significant difference between the two groups of neonates in terms of independent t-test, maternal age, and 1-minute APGAR score (P -value < 0.05) (**Table 1**).

The APGAR score of the first minute in babies born to mothers without diabetes was 1.65 ± 7.08 and in the diabetic group it was 6.39 ± 2.30 . The average number of mothers in the group without diabetes was 27.72 ± 6.42 and in the diabetes group was 32.26 ± 5.93 .

Table 2 compares the outcomes of gestational diabetes in mothers and infants during childbirth, including the type of delivery, ROP, PROM, and finally the consequences for the infant in the delivery room in the two groups of neonates born to

Table 1. Comparison of maternal and neonatal characteristics of the two groups.

Variables	Group	Mean \pm SD	P-value
Maternal age (year)	Normal	27.72 ± 6.42	< 0.001
	Diabetic	32.26 ± 5.93	
Parity	Normal	2.10 ± 1.37	0.538
	Diabetic	2.22 ± 1.23	
Gestational age (week)	Normal	35.03 ± 2.46	0.108
	Diabetic	33.88 ± 2.73	
1-min APGAR	Normal	7.08 ± 1.65	0.013
	Diabetic	6.39 ± 2.30	
5-min APGAR	Normal	8.18 ± 1.58	0.871
	Diabetic	8.15 ± 1.50	
Weight (g)	Normal	$1,869.76 \pm 810.52$	0.123
	Diabetic	$1,716.47 \pm 742.95$	

Table 2. Comparison of maternal and neonatal outcomes in the two groups.

Parameters	GDM group (n = 99)	Control group (n = 196)	P-value
PROM* (%)	11.1	3	0.014
C-section (%)	78.8	52.6	0.001
ROP* (%)	74.5	55.1	0.042
CPR in the delivery room* (%)	29.2	9.4	0.001
Ventilation in the delivery room (%)	9	36.6	0.031
Cord PH	7.25 ± 0.11	7.28 ± 0.11	0.124
WBC*	$14,350 \pm 1279$	$13,720 \pm 820$	0.771
NRBC/100*	121.70 ± 31.89	45.54 ± 34.77	0.156

Fisher's exact test was used to analyze variables such as type of delivery and ROP and Chi-square test was utilized to examine variables of PROM, CPR, and ventilation in both groups. Independent t-test was used to compare WBC, NRBC, and PH factors in the two groups. PROM: Prolong Premature Rupture of membrane; ROP: Retinopathy of Prematurity; CPR: Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation; WBC: White blood cell; Nucleated red blood cell.

healthy and diabetic mothers. According to chi-square test and Fisher's exact test, all five variables were significantly different (P -value < 0.05). Also, according to the independent t-test, the results of neonatal blood test and peripheral blood smear did not show a significant difference in the mean serum levels of NRBC/100*, WBC, and mean blood pH in the two groups (P -value > 0.05) (**Table 2**). Of course, the average white NRBC/100*, WBC were higher in the group of infants born to diabetic mothers, and the blood pH level of the umbilical cord was lower in the group of babies born to diabetic mothers.

DISCUSSION

In this study, there was a significant difference between the two groups of neonates in terms of independent t-test, maternal age, and 1-minute APGAR score. Compares the outcomes of gestational diabetes in mothers and infants during childbirth, including the type of delivery, ROP, PROM and finally the consequences for the infant in the delivery room in the two groups of neonates born to healthy and diabetic mothers. Results of neonatal blood test and peripheral blood smear did not show a significant difference in the mean serum levels of NRBC/100*, WBC, and mean blood pH in the two groups.

According to the results of our study, diabetic mothers were older than healthy mothers. (P -val-

ue < 0.001). In several studies, diabetic mothers have been reported to be significantly older than mothers without gestational diabetes [14, 16]. However, some studies have not reported a difference in maternal age between groups [8, 17]. In fact, research shows that maternal age is an independent factor that increases the risk of gestational diabetes. However, there is no consensus about the age at which this risk increases. Age over 25 years is significantly associated with increased risk of gestational diabetes in mothers [18].

In this study, the long-term rupture of the membrane in diabetic mothers was three times greater than in healthy mothers (P-value = 0.014). According to Bhat *et al.*, PROM is reported as the most common complication in diabetic mothers [17]. Wahi *et al.* and Kalra *et al.* introduced PROM as one of the most common complications [19, 20]. In a systematic review, the most important risk factors of PROM were diabetes and maternal hypertension, which were associated with neonatal and maternal complications, especially infection [21]. Although prematurity is the most common complication associated with PROM, other complications such as sepsis, asphyxia, and respiratory distress syndrome may also occur. Timely detection of maternal risk factors and their proper management, especially diabetes, can reduce the incidence and severity of PROM complications (p < 0.001) [21, 22].

In our study, diabetic mothers were 1.5 times more likely to have C-section compared to healthy mothers (P-value < 0.001). Many studies show that C-section is more common in diabetic mothers [15, 23, 24], though some other studies have not reported any significant relationship. Pregnancy complications such as preeclampsia in diabetic mothers may force medical staff to opt for C-section delivery [28]. The higher prevalence of premature amniotic membrane rupture and abnormal monitoring during labour and the greater need for resuscitation in the neonates born to diabetic mothers may explain the higher rate of C-sections in these mothers.

According to this study, acidosis was more severe in the infants of diabetic mothers than in the control group. Various studies have reported a lower pH in the blood of diabetic neonates [29, 30]. According to our findings, high NRBC, and low blood pH in infants born to diabetic mothers may explain acute and chronic uterine acidosis in this group.

In this study, the mean NRBC/100 in the neonates of diabetic mothers was three times higher than in healthy mothers. Other studies have also reported that NRBC is higher in infants born to diabetic mothers compared to the infants of healthy mothers [29, 34]. Infants of diabetic mothers are exposed to intrauterine hypoxia, which increases erythropoietin production, resulting in elevated NRBC levels [36]. Many studies have shown that chronic and acute hypoxia and asphyxia increase NRBC/100 WBC in the fetus and newborns [32, 33]. High NRBC and low blood pH in infants born to diabetic mothers may indicate chronic intrauterine acidosis in this group [29].

In this study, the 1-minute APGAR score of diabetic mothers was low (P-value = 0.013). In the study of Levy *et al.*, the 1-minute APGAR score was lower, but the 5-minute APGAR score was not significantly different [14]. In the study of Wahabi *et al.*, the 5-min APGAR score was no different [15]. In the study of Keshavarz *et al.*, no significant difference was reported between the two groups in terms of the 1-minute APGAR score [23]. Low APGAR score of neonates in the case group reflects foetal complications during childbirth, which can be linked to diabetes in the mother and foetus. The survival of these infants in extrauterine life is another problem, which is not unexpected considering high NRBC in the blood of infants and acidosis.

In this study, a threefold increase was observed in the need for resuscitation and ventilation in the delivery room for infants of diabetic mothers (P-value < 0.001). In the study of Foeller *et al.*, NICU hospitalization and the need for ventilation in infants born to diabetic mothers were higher than in the control group [34]. Gestational diabetes increases the risk of resuscitation in these infants by raising the risk of prematurity. According to the findings of these studies, there is a significant need for ventilation in these infants, which calls for close monitoring.

In this study, ROP in infants born to diabetic mothers was about 1.5 times higher than in the control group (P-value = 0.042). In the study of Tunay *et al.*, the incidence of ROP in infants of diabetic mothers was 20 percent higher in infants born to healthy mothers [35]. Mohamed *et al.* found a significant relationship between ROP and hyperglycaemia [36]. In the study of Kavurt *et al.* on neonates with ROP and healthy ones, maternal gestational diabetes was not reported as a risk factor for neonatal retinopathy [37]. ROP takes place in two phases of pathological changes. The first phase begins with

the hampered growth of retinal artery after the birth of premature infant. When the preterm infant is exposed to high concentrations of oxygen, it provokes a relative hyperoxic condition, which leads to the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and subsequent regression of the retinal arteries. In the second phase of ROP, a relative hypoxic state emerges after the oxygen is cut off and the oxygen pressure is normalized. Also, the metabolic needs of the vascular retina increase as the newborn grows. Therefore, increased oxygen demand inflicts ischemic damage to the retina. This relative hypoxia in the retina leads to abnormal vascular proliferation and neovascularization. The neonatal hypoxia of diabetic mothers can exacerbate this condition. On the other hand, severe asphyxia in these infants and a greater need for resuscitation and oxygen prescribed during resuscitation can lead to an intensification of retinal vascularization and ROP due to the production of free radicals [38]. One of the limitations of this study was that we neither evaluated maternal diabetes status during pregnancy nor performed screening to control and treat GDM.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study suggested that diabetic mothers tend to be older and more vulnerable to PROM, the risk of preterm delivery, and C-section. Also, the infants of these mothers need more resuscitation and are at higher risk of ROP. Therefore, monitoring mothers for prevention and early diagnosis of diabetes and its treatment may mitigate the complications facing these mothers and their foetus. Moreover, the presence of a stand-by resuscitation team at the bedside of a diabetic mother may help resolve newborn complications.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

Authors contribution

H.B., F.B.: Conceptualization, data curation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. N.B.: Data curation.

Funding

This research was funded by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

Study registration

N/A.

Disclosure of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethical approval

This study approved by the Organizational Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, (research code: 990515 - and code of ethics: IR.MUMS.MEDICAL.REC.1399.258 -).

Informed consent

This study doesn't need patient informed consent.

Data sharing

The datasets generated and/or analysed during this study are included in the results.

REFERENCES

1. Quaresima P, Visconti F, Chiefari E, Puccio L, Foti DP, Venturella R, et al. Barriers to Postpartum Glucose Intolerance Screening in an Italian Population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(12):2853. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15122853.
2. Zhu Y, Zhang C. Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes and Risk of Progression to Type 2 Diabetes: a Global Perspective. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2016;16(1):7. doi: 10.1007/s11892-015-0699-x.
3. Poulakos P, Mintziori G, Tsirou E, Taousani E, Savvaki D, Harizopoulou V, et al. Comments on gestational diabetes mellitus: from pathophysiology to clinical practice. *Hormones*. 2015;14(3):335-44. doi: 10.14310/horm.2002.1570.
4. Yogev Y, Visser GH. Obesity, gestational diabetes and pregnancy outcome. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2009;14(2):77-84. doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2008.09.002.
5. Fan Z, Yang H, Gao X, Lintu H, Sun W. Pregnancy outcome in gestational diabetes. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2006;94(1):12-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2006.03.021.
6. Gasim T. Gestational diabetes mellitus: maternal and perinatal outcomes in 220 Saudi wom-

- en. *Oman Med J*. 2012;27(2):140-4. doi: 10.5001/omj.2012.29 .
7. Bayoumi MAA, Masri RM, Matani NYS. et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in mothers with diabetes mellitus in Qatari population. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):651. doi: 10.1186/s12884-021-04124-6.
 8. Koivunen S, Torkki A, Bloigu A, Gissler M, Pouta A, Kajantie E, Väärasmäki M. Towards national comprehensive gestational diabetes screening - consequences for neonatal outcome and care. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2017;96(1):106-13. doi: 10.1111/aogs.13030.
 9. Quaresima P, Saccone G, Pellegrino R, Vaccarisi S, Taranto L, Mazzulla R, Bernardo S, et al. Incidental diagnosis of a pancreatic adenocarcinoma in a woman affected by gestational diabetes mellitus: case report and literature review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2021;3(6):100471. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100471.
 10. Gunton JE, McElduff A, Sulway M, Stiel J, Kelso I, Boyce S, et al. Outcome of pregnancies complicated by pre-gestational diabetes mellitus. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2000;40(1):38-43. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-828x.2000.tb03164.x.
 11. Qadir SY, Yasmin T, Fatima I. Maternal and foetal outcome in gestational diabetes. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad*. 2012;24(3-4):17-20. doi:10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20183803.
 12. Boskabadi H, Zakeri Hamidi M, Boskabadi A. Frequency and characteristics of neonatal jaundice in diabetic mothers and comparison with neonatal jaundice with unknown cause. *Tehran Univ Med J* 2017;75(4):316-22. Available at: <http://tumj.tums.ac.ir/article-1-8153-en.html>.
 13. Kerner W, Brückel J. Definition, classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2014;122(7):384-6. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1366278.
 14. Levy A, Sheiner E, Hammel RD, HersHKovitz R, Hallak M, Katz M, et al. Shoulder dystocia: a comparison of patients with and without diabetes mellitus. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2006;273(4):203-6. doi: 10.1007/s00404-005-0051-9.
 15. Wahabi HA, Esmaeil SA, Fayed A, Alzeidan RA. Gestational diabetes mellitus: maternal and perinatal outcomes in King Khalid University Hospital, Saudi Arabia. *J Egypt Public Health Assoc*. 2013;88(2):104-8. doi: 10.1097/01.EPX.0000430392.57811.20.
 16. Bener A, Saleh NM, Al-Hamaq A. Prevalence of gestational diabetes and associated maternal and neonatal complications in a fast-developing community: global comparisons. *Int J Womens Health*. 2011;3:367-73. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S26094.
 17. Bhat M, Ramesha KN, Sarma SP, Menon S, Ganesh Kumar S. Outcome of gestational diabetes mellitus from a tertiary referral center in South India: a case-control study. *J Obstet Gynaecol India*. 2012;62(6):644-9. doi: 10.1007/s13224-012-0226-9.
 18. Lee KW, Ching SM, Ramachandran V, Yee A, Hoo FK, Chia YC, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gestational diabetes mellitus in Asia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):494. doi: 10.1186/s12884-018-2131-4.
 19. Wahi P, Dogra V, Jandial K, Bhagat R, Gupta R, Gupta S, et al. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and its outcomes in Jammu region. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2011;59(4):227-30.
 20. Kalra P, Kachhwaha CP, Singh HV. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus and its outcome in western Rajasthan. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2013;17(4):677. doi: 10.4103/2230-8210.113760.
 21. Boskabadi H, Zakerihamidi M. Evaluation of maternal risk factors, delivery, and neonatal outcomes of premature rupture of membrane: A systematic review study. *J Ped Rev*. 2019;7(2):77-88. doi: 10.32598/jpr.7.2.77.
 22. Boskabadi H, Maamouri G, Mafinejad S. Neonatal complications related with prolonged rupture of membranes. *Macedonian J Med Sci*. 2011;4(1):93-8. doi:10.3889/MJMS.1857-5773.2011.0159.
 23. Keshavarz M, Cheung NW, Babae GR, Moghadam HK, Ajami ME, Shariati M. Gestational diabetes in Iran: incidence, risk factors and pregnancy outcomes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2005;69(3):279-86. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2005.01.011.
 24. Gorgal R, Gonçalves E, Barros M, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus: a risk factor for non-elective cesarean section. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2012;38(1):154-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0756.2011.01659.x.
 25. Rehan VK, Moddemann D, Casiro OG. Outcome of very-low-birth-weight (< 1,500 grams) infants born to mothers with diabetes. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2002;41(7):481-91. doi: 10.1177/000992280204100705.
 26. Alwan N, Tuffnell DJ, West J. Treatments for gestational diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.

- 2009;2009(3):CD003395. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003395.pub2.
27. Nayak PK, Mitra S, Sahoo JP, Daniel M, Mathew A, Padma A. Feto-maternal outcomes in women with and without gestational diabetes mellitus according to the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IAD-PSG) diagnostic criteria. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2013;7(4):206-9. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2013.10.017.
28. Coustan DR. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chem*. 2013;59(9):1310-21. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2013.203331.
29. Namavar Jahromi B, Ahmadi N, Cohan N, Jahromi MR. Comparison of the umbilical artery blood gas, nucleated red blood cell, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell differential counts between neonates of diabetic and non-diabetic mothers. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;50(3):301-5. doi: 10.1016/j.tjog.2011.01.029.
30. Flores-le Roux JA, Sagarra E, Benaiges D, Hernandez-Rivas E, Chillaron JJ, de Dou JP, et al. A prospective evaluation of neonatal hypoglycaemia in infants of women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2012;97(2):217-22. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2012.03.011.
31. Madazlı R, Tuten A, Calay Z, Uzun H, Uludag S, Ocak V. The incidence of placental abnormalities, maternal and cord plasma malondialdehyde and vascular endothelial growth factor levels in women with gestational diabetes mellitus and nondiabetic controls. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2008;65(4):227-32. doi: 10.1159/000113045.
32. Boskabadi H, Zakerihamidi M, Sadeghian MH, Avan A, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Ferns GA. Nucleated red blood cells count as a prognostic biomarker in predicting the complications of asphyxia in neonates. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017;30(21):2551-6. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2016.1256988.
33. Boskabadi H, Maamouri G, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Bagheri F, Zakerihamidi M, Mollaey MK, et al. Comparison of the predictive value of prooxidant-antioxidant balance and heat shock proteins in the diagnosis of neonatal asphyxia. *Biomed Res Ther*. 2017;4(5):1327-40. doi: 10.15419/bmrat.v4i05.171.
34. Foeller M, Zhao S, Szabo A, Cruz M. Neonatal outcomes in twin pregnancies complicated by gestational diabetes compared with non-diabetic twins. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35(12):1043-7. doi: 10.1038/jp.2015.133.
35. Tunay ZÖ, Özdemir Ö, Acar DE, Öztuna D, Uraş N. Maternal diabetes as an independent risk factor for retinopathy of prematurity in infants with birth weight of 1500 g or more. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2016;168:201-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2016.05.022.
36. Mohamed S, Murray JC, Dagle JM, Colaizy T. Hyperglycemia as a risk factor for the development of retinopathy of prematurity. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013;13:78. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-78.
37. Kavurt S, Özcan B, Aydemir O, Bas AY, Demirel N. Risk of retinopathy of prematurity in small for gestational age premature infants. *Indian Pediatr*. 2014;51(10):804-6. doi: 10.1007/s13312-014-0506-9.
38. Chen J, Smith LE. Retinopathy of prematurity. *Angiogenesis*. 2007;10(2):133-40. doi: 10.1007/s10456-007-9066-0.